

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/670,292	MOROZ ET AL.
	Examiner Anatoly Vortman	Art Unit 2835

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** allowed

(1) Examiner Anatoly Vortman / AU 2835. (3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Mr. Edwin D. Garlepp, Reg. No. 45,330. (4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 14 October 2005

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*none*

Claims discussed:

*none*

Prior art documents discussed:

*none*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

The Examiner has informed the Applicant's representative that IDS filed on 10/5/05 has not been considered, because the sole reference cited on the IDS (US5,323,292) is already of record (see PTO-892 mailed on 05/03/05). Therefore, the redundant consideration is not necessary. The attorney has agreed that redundant consideration is not necessary.



ANATOLY VORTMAN  
PRIMARY EXAMINER